

## REMARKS

Claims 1-11, 17-21, 29, 31-40, 45, 47, and 49-50 were pending and presented for examination in this application. In an Office Action dated December 13, 2006, all pending claims were rejected. In an advisory action dated February 27, 2007, Examiner indicated that the amendments proposed by Applicants in Amendment B were not entered after final. Thus, in the present amendment, amendments to claims 1 and 37 have been made to the claims as presented in Amendment A. Claims 7, 17, 29, 31-36, 45, 47, and 49-50 have also been canceled.

Examiner rejected the pending claims under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Pitkow. Applicants' representative conducted an interview with Examiner on March 13, 2007, the relevant portions of which are summarized herein in accordance with MPEP §713.04. The claims, Examiner's rejections, Examiner's additional comments regarding §101 and §112, and the references of record were discussed. During the interview, Applicants' representative and Examiner reached agreement that claims 1 and 37, as amended, were likely not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. Accordingly, these claims have been amended in a way Examiner indicated would be allowable.

On the basis of the above, Applicants believe they have addressed the Examiner's rejections and respectfully request their withdrawal. The early allowance of all claims is requested. If the Examiner believes that direct contact with the Applicants' attorney will advance the prosecution of this case, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned as indicated below.

//

//

//

//

Respectfully submitted,  
Gregory Joseph Badros et. al.

Dated: March 13, 2007

By: Colleen V. Chien  
Colleen V. Chien, Reg. No. 55,062  
Attorney for Applicants  
Fenwick & West LLP  
Silicon Valley Center  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel.: (415) 875-2319  
Fax: (415) 281-1350  
Email: cchien@fenwick.com